G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 58-21.

192 /

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4

(Ab.)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Four.

Part B for February 1922.

SUBJECT.

Raising the jurisdiction of Munsiffs, brought up for discussion in the Representative Assembly meeting for October 1920.

Sl)

From The Registrar

WD 1888—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

R. Nagesh Rao 9/2/22.

(47) (1

Cfj 58-21- 25265

SUBJECT No. 13.

Raising the jurisdiction of Munsiffs.

Mr. C. Channakesaviah, Chitaldrug Taluk.

Summary of Representation.—The jurisdiction of Munsiffs may be raised from Rs. 2,500 to Rs. 5,000 or a little less.

Note.—Not discussed owing to the absence of the proposer.

1) Submitted with a draft to Registrar Ichhapur.

3/8/21

2) Sd

D. 4/8/21

Se no 1 to the Registrar Ech court,

no 848 dt 22.8.1921

Calls for opinion of the Judges of the Ech court in the matter

Draft 23/8

2. From

the Registrar Chief Court

Letter no Roc 213 dt 6.10.21.

States that the judges are of opinion that the jurisdiction of the Civil Courts may if necessary be raised to Rs 3000/- only as to raise the jurisdiction to Rs 5000/- will only increase the work of munsiffs and thus increase the delay & in the disposal of the cases.

3) Submitted for orders (4) a further increase not now is not desirable.

7.10.21 2447 9.10.21 3rd member)

8.10.21.

(2

G. R. S. III-2]

503

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Ch File No. 58 of 1921.

Serial No.

2447

5) What is the limit of the pecuniary jurisdiction of Munsiffs in the Madras Presidency. Has it been raised to 3000

Ltd
13/10

6) Information not available in this office, here. The Chief Court has been requested to supply the required information

Cag
14.10.21.

7) Subd. with reference to para (5) above

8) The Registrar, Chief Court intimates that the pecuniary jurisdiction of the Munsiffs in the Madras Presidency has been raised from Rs 2500 to Rs 3000/- in the year 1918. The jurisdiction of the munsiffs in the State may likewise be raised to Rs 3000/-

24/10/21
24/10

3rd member)

2503/26/10

9) Does this not require an amendment of the Civil Courts Regulation?

Cag
24.10.21

10) P.A. Prepare draft for the Civil Courts Regulation

Ltd
4/12

Ltd
27/10

11) Yes - it does [The limit prescribed is 2500]

12) I do not know if the change proposed will materially improve matters

Cag 5/12

3249
1/12/21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 58 of 19 21

Serial No.

3269

13- What is the number of suits between the Value between 2500 & 3000 filed during a year which of the Municipal Courts will become the Courts of such cases when trial will of the jurisdiction is increased-

14) Put up a note the file which contains the recommendation of the Chief Court for the enhancement of the jurisdiction

10/12

15) To S. for attention

16) Please put up the file, as also the the information required in para 1, if available. otherwise Please get the statistics necessary for the purpose.

10/12

05-

Read

17) The opinion of the Chief Court as formed on Subject no 13- Raising the jurisdiction of the Municipals is as follows

we believe that an increase to Rs 3 000/- may not

Serial No.

well be made. This opinion was forwarded with a letter to the Registrar which is quoted in R.A subject works. There is no other authority on the subject.

18) Submitted with a draft calling for the statistics &
12.12.21.
WD 17/12

19) Issue
Cash
17/12

The Registrar Ch. Court
Lr. No. 2873 & 15/12/21
With reference to Rule 2 Calls for information on certain points

Sgd K 17/12

4 From
The Registrar Chief Court.
Letter No Roc 213 & 16.1.22

Forwards 2 Statements showing the number of suits filed in the 3 Subordinate Judges' Courts of the value between Rs 2500 and 3000/- and the number of suits which each Munsiff Court will get and states that there is no appreciable increase of work by in the Munsiffs Court by raising the jurisdiction.

20) Submitted for orders with reference to paragraph (13) above.
21.1.22
WD 21/1/22

21) The average number of suits of value between Rs 2500/-

Serial No.

& Rs 3000/- that will fall to all the Courts, except the 1st Court Bangalore & Mysore, the Court at Seringapatam & 2nd Court Mysore, will be between 1 & 3. Bangalore 1st Court will have about 14, 1st Court Mysore, about 9, Seringapatam, about 5, and 2nd Court Mysore about 3. The increase in each Court is inappreciable. The jurisdiction may perhaps be raised, to Rs. 3000/- as in Madras.

Gen Secy

Case
21.1.22

2) The advantage gained is very little whether in point of relief to Subordinate Judges or convenience to parties. Most of the cases are from places where there is a Sub Court already. Of course a few more suits may be filed before the Munsiffs in those places if the change is sanctioned. But I do not know if for this purpose it is worth while changing the Regulation.

28/1

2) In Madras the change probably meant more relief to Subordinate Judges

3915/4/2

3) Remarks may be placed on the personal file

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

9/2 B

25) File

9/2

C. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 22/8

Signed by

Section 65

File No. 58-21

Serial No 1

No. J878

To

The Registrar Lehieg Court

Sir,

I am directed to invite ~~your~~ attention to reference to Subject no 13 proposing to raise Raising the jurisdiction of munsiffs from Rs 2500 to 5000 or a little less, brought up for discussion in the Representative Assembly meeting for October 1920 and which was not discussed owing to the absence of the proposer and to request you to kindly obtain and forward the opinion of the judges of the Lehieg court on the matter over.

I have etc

J. M. 18

for Secretary

11756

DIARY No. 1921

58-21

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore, 4th October 1921.

From

A. Srinivasa Raghavachar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

GEN. & REV. SECT.
5 - OCT 1921

To

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

S i r,

Adverting to your letter No.J.878/Cts. 58-21-1 dated the 22nd August 1921 inviting reference to subject No.13 proposing to raise the jurisdiction of Munsiffs, brought up for discussion in the Representative Assembly meeting for October 1920, and requesting the opinion of the Judges in the matter, I am directed to state that the Judges have already given their opinion that an increase to Rs3000/- might well be made (Vide opinion on general subject No.87 to be brought forward at the Representative Assembly in October 1920 forwarded with this office letter D.2. Dis. No.394 of 1920-1921 -- dated 4th October 1920).

The object of the proposal to raise it to Rs5000/- or a little less seems to be to have the suits tried in the nearest court and if there were any considerable proportion of uncontested suits between 2500 and Rs5000/- or Rs3000/-, there would be something to be said for

the

e proposal: but most of the suits are contested
out 75 per cent in 1919-20 and 1920-21 so that the
ange would result principally in increasing the
nsiffs' work and would add to, rather than mini-
ise delays. The Judges see no advantage in in--
reasing the number of Munsiffs' Courts if that in-
olves reducing the number of Subordinate Judges.
here is only one Subordinate Judge for each Sessions
ivision, and he is useful for hearing Civil appeals
nd helping the Sessions Judge with Criminal trials.
he number of appellate courts is not excessive. -
he change proposed by the Chief Court (increase of
jurisdiction to Rs.3000) would in their opinion be -
ufficient, if any change is made.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sheriff Rogers 4/6/21

Registrar.

mgv/ ad

VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 18/10/21

Signed by Mr Carr Dear

Section

File No.

Serial No

No. F2094

To ~~The Registrar Subordinate~~

Sir,

I am ~~directed~~ to request you to kindly state ~~whether~~ the present pecuniary jurisdiction of the District munsiffs in Madras, and if this has been changed recently, what was the jurisdiction before the change. An early reply will oblige

Yours truly

Carr
15/10

over.

For Secretary

To

R Sowrea Chavachetty Esq B.L

Registrar Subordinate Bangalore

D.B.No.28
21-22

OCT 1921
JUDICIAL BRANCH.

1603
9

10

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, 21st October 1921.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O J 2093 of the 18th instant, I am informed by the District Judge of the Civil and Military Station to whom I referred for information that the pecuniary jurisdiction of the District Munsiff in the Madras Presidency was originally Rs.2,500/- and that it has been raised to Rs.3,000/- in 1918.

Yours Sincerely,

Athenisadoguella
21/10/21

To--

C. Abdul Ghani, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Assistant Secretary to Government,
Genl. & Revenue Secretariat,
B A N G A L O R E.

Please place this in the file in which the opinion has been asked for.
24.10.21

(1) The present pecuniary
jurisdiction of Munsifs'
Courts in Madras.

2) What must be done
to alter this change,
if any.

Cag

(10a

G.R.S. [II—3]

6471

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

The information is not available
Submitted with a draft D.O. letter
21
14.10.21
14/10

Issue. cas
18/10/21

no D2094 dt 18.10.21

Gus A from
The Regr. Lahore Court
D.O. no 28 § 21.10.21
states that the pecuniary jurisdiction
of the Munsiffs in the Madras Presidency
has been raised from Rs 2500/- to
Rs 3000/-

Submitted for orders
21
24/10/21

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 1603

Section Ch
File No. 55.4
Serial No. 3

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

No. J 2893

Date of issue 15/12/21

Signed by M. Cag

To

The Registrar Lehiq Court

Sir,

With reference to your letter no Roc 213 dt 4.10.1921 regarding to the proposal to raise the pecuniary jurisdiction of munsiffs from Rs 2 500/- to Rs 3 000/- I am directed to request you to kindly furnish the number of suits of the value between Rs 2 500 and Rs 3 000/- filed during a years, for a few years, and to enquire which of the munsiff's courts will have the greater number of over.

30775

2689

JUDICIAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
III
20 JAN 1922

GEN & REVENUE SECTT.
20 JAN 1922

No. 58-11

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 16th January 1922.

From

G. Sreenivasa Iyer, Esqr., B.A.,M.L.,
Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

To

S. Hiriyannaiya, Esqr., M.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore.

S i r,

Adverting to your letter No. J.2893/Cts.58-21-3 dated the 15th December 1921, requesting information as to the number of suits of the value between Rs.2500/- and Rs.3000/- filed in the Subordinate Judges' Courts and enquiring which of the Munsiffs' courts will have the greater number of such cases to deal with if their jurisdiction is increased, I am directed to forward herewith two statements one showing the number of such suits instituted in the three Subordinate Judges' courts for the last two years and another showing the number of cases contributed by each taluk during the same years.

I am to add that the increase of work for any

STATEMENT SHOWING THE NUMBER OF SUITS OF THE VALUE BETWEEN Rs.2500/- and Rs.3000/- filed during the year 1919-20 and 1920-21 in the Subordinate Judges' courts in the State.

No.	Name of Court.	No. of suits of the value between Rs.2500 and Rs.3000 instituted.		Remarks.
		1919 - 20	1920 - 21	
1	Subordinate Judge's Court, Bangalore.	16	27	
2	Subordinate Judge's Court, Mysore.	18	21	
3.	Subordinate Judge's Court, Shimoga.	9	15	
	Total	43	63	

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore.
16th January 1922.

REGISTRAR.

AV.

Talukwar Statement showing the number of suits of the value between Rs.2500/- and Rs.3000/- filed in the courts of the Subordinate Judges in the State during the years 1919-20 and 1920 - 21.

****

No.	Name of the Subordinate Court.	Taluk from which case is contributed.	Institution in 1919-20	Institution in 1920-21	To which Munsiff's local jurisdiction the Taluk belongs.	Remarks.
1.	Bangalore Sub Court.	Bangalore	10	14	I Munsiff, Bangalore.	
		Anekal	1	-	II - do -	
		Tumkur	1	1	Tumkur	
		Tiptur	1	2		
		Huliyurdurga (Sub taluk)	1	-		
		Kolar	2	-	Kolar	
		Malur	-	1		
		Dodballapur	-	1	Dodballapur	
		Nelamangala	-	1		
		Gowribidnur	-	1		
		Madagadakere (sub taluk)	-	1	Maddagiri	
		Sira	-	1		
		Chikballapur	-	1	Chikballapur	
		Bowringpet	-	1	Kolar Gold Fields.	
		Kolar Gold Fields.	-	2		
2.	Mysore Sub Court.	Mysore	9	9	I Munsiff's Court, Mysore.	
		Seringapatam	-	4	Seringapatam and II Munsiff, Mysore.	
		Maddur	1	2	Seringapatam.	
		Malavalli	-	1		
		Krishnarajpet	1	1		
		Nanjangud	3	2	Nanjangud	
		Chamarajnagar	-	1		
		Yadavagiri	1	-	II Munsiff, Mysore & Hole Narsipur.	
		Hassan	2	1	Hassan.	
		Arsikere	1	-		
3.	Shimoga Sub Court.	Shimoga	1	2	Shimoga.	
		Thirthahalli	4	1		
		Chandragiri	1	1		
		Sagar	1	3	Sagar	
		Chikmagalur	1	3	Chikmagalur	
		Tarikere	-	2	Narasimharajapura	
		Chitaldrug	1	-	Chitaldrug.	
		Hiriyur	-	1		
		Davangere	-	2	Davangere.	
		Total:	43	63		

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore, 16th January 1922.

REGISTRAR.